

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants: Ichiro TANJI et al. Notice of Allowance  
Dated: 09/12/2008  
Serial No.: 10/674,314  
Filed: September 30, 2003  
For: ANTI-ALIASING VIDEO CAMERA PROCESSING  
APPARATUS AND METHOD  
Examiner: Jerabek, Kelly L.  
Art Unit: 2622  
Confirmation No.: 5258

745 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10151


**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

Date of Transmission: November 5, 2008

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via  
Electronic Filing Services on the date indicated above.

Maria Lapitan

(Typed or printed name of person signing transmittal)

  
(Signature of person signing transmittal)

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed September 12, 2008. To the extent the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be

interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicants' attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicants' contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicants of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicants, by their attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicants

By   
William S. Frommer  
Reg. No. 25,506  
(212) 588-0800